

Appl No. 10/659,022  
Amdt. Dated January 10, 2005  
Response to Office Action of December 1, 2005

3

**Remarks/Arguments**

The Applicant thanks the Examiner for the Office Action dated December 1, 2005.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)**

Claim 1 now explicitly specifies a "mobile phone". Basis for this amendment can be found throughout the description and drawings.

Kumar does not describe a telephone. Kumar describes a device for processing point of sale transactions and could not, even on a broad interpretation, be regarded as "a mobile phone".

In view of this amendment, it is submitted that the present invention is not anticipated by the disclosure of Kumar.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's objections have been successfully traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance of the application is courteously solicited.


Very respectfully,  
Applicant:



---

KIA SILVERBROOK

Applicant:



---

TOBIN ALLEN KING

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd  
393 Darling Street  
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: [kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com](mailto:kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com)

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762